

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/728,378		UPDYKE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	ALEX NOGUEROLA		1753	

All Participants:

(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.

(2) Rae Lynn Prengaman.

Date of Interview: 12/04/2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

67, 76-78, and 85-87

Prior art documents discussed:

Ratliff, of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Miss Prengaman authorized the examiner's amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Alex Noguerola

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)